

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ROMAN TIFFER,

Plaintiff,

v.

WORKERS COMPENSATION, et al.,

Defendants.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Civil Action No. 12 1260

MEMORANDUM OPINION

It appears that the plaintiff seeks review of a Maryland administrative agency decision denying his claim for worker's compensation benefits arising from an injury plaintiff allegedly sustained on November 18, 2006, while he was working as a security guard. It further appears that the plaintiff unsuccessfully sought judicial review of the agency decision in the United States District Court for the District of Maryland. See Tiffer v. Workers Compensation, No. 09-1550, 2009 WL 6891646, at *1 (D. Md. June 19, 2009), aff'd, 332 Fed. App'x 108 (4th Cir. 2009), cert. denied, 130 S. Ct. 1069 (2010). Neither review of the Maryland administrative agency's decision, see Tiffer, 2009 WL 6891646, at *1 (citing Md. Code Ann., Labor and Employment § 9-737), nor review of another federal court's decision, see 28 U.S.C. §§ 1254, 1291, is properly before this Court. The plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis will be granted, and his pro se complaint will be dismissed.

An Order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

DATE: 7/30/12

[Signature]
United States District Judge